

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

2
3

4
5
6
7

9

10
11
12

13
14
15
16
17
18

19
20
21
22
23
24

1 transmitter, responsive to the server, to transmit content over a *second network* to
2 the local service provider.”

3 Payton does not show or disclose a server to serve content to a local service
4 provider via a first network, and a transmitter responsive to the server to transmit
5 content over a second network to the local service provider, as recited in claim 51.

6 The Office suggests that Payton describes a second network (30) to transmit
7 information from server (24) to local server (28) (*see* Payton Fig. 2) (*Office Action*
8 p.2). To the contrary, Payton describes a back channel (30) between local server
9 (28) and distribution server (24) (col. 6, lines 51-55). The back channel is not
10 described as a network to serve content from a server to a local service provider as
11 recited in claim 51.

12 Payton does not show or disclose two networks to serve content from a
13 server to a local service provider as recited in claim 51. Accordingly, claim 51 is
14 allowable over Payton and Applicant respectfully requests that the §102 rejection
15 be withdrawn.

16
17 Claims 52-55 are allowable by virtue of their dependency upon claim 51.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

Respectfully Submitted,

By: David A. Morasch
David A. Morasch
Reg. No. 42,905
(509) 324-9256 x 210